


Default Report

2017 CFAR Survey

March 1st 2017, 11:08 am EST

Q1 - Which best describes you?




#	Answer	%	Count
1	Faculty investigator	46.81%	66
2	Research staff	33.33%	47
3	Support staff	9.22%	13
4	Student/Trainee	2.84%	4
5	Other (please specify)	7.80%	11
	Total	100%	141

Q1_5_TEXT - Other (please specify)

Other (please specify)
Emeritus faculty


Grants Manager
Community Engagement
Postdoc
Postdoc
Faculty Safety Administration
Research Scholar - Investigator
Program Manager
Faculty advisor
Postdoc Associate
Postdoc

Q1b - Are you currently a PI on an NIH-funded HIV/AIDS-related grant?



#	Answer	%	Count
1	Yes	50.00%	32
2	No	50.00%	32
	Total	100%	64

Q2a - 2. Please indicate all CFAR Cores you have utilized in the past two years. (check all that apply)



#	Answer	%	Count
1	Administrative Core (Core A)	30.83%	41
2	Developmental Core (Core B) *Please select if you have received a CFAR Small Grant or Administrative Supplement*	20.30%	27
3	Immunology Core (Core C)	42.86%	57
4	Biostatistics and Computational Biology Core (Core E)	21.80%	29
5	Clinical Core (Core F)	16.54%	22

6	Social and Behavioral Sciences Core (Core G)	18.05%	24
7	I have not utilized any CFAR Core	21.80%	29
	Total	100%	133

Q3 - 3. All of our services and contact information can be found on the CFAR website (<http://cfar.duke.edu/>). How else could we make this information more accessible to you?

3. All of our services and contact information can be found on the CFAR web...
Maybe make an App available to access the CFAR services for mobile devices.
Email blasts that are sent out are very helpful.
This is a good way.
Information is available. No problems I can see.
This is good for me. The more specificity about the activities, the better.
My clinical duties have hampered any opportunity to look for more research opportunities through the CFAR
it is sufficient
Cellphone App, Radio, Commercials
You might want one of the online Duke media services to highlight the CFAR. Also, I would strongly suggest getting something in DGH's one.
I do not have trouble finding CFAR information
Fine as is.
You are doing a wonderful job!
NO
No other ideas.
For the above question, I'm part of the Immunology Core through Dr. Montefiori lab, but I'm assuming that the question asks more about utilizing those services outside of normal work. As for question 3, the website is likely sufficient. Possibly common space contact sheet/directory.
mobile app
I have no problem accessing it. Websites are easy to find.
it's accessible!
Better links to where to find certain things
The website works well!
It is OK as is.
Through the electronic newsletter and CFAR Chalk Talks
The way you are doing it currently works well
This is the most appropriate location for this information.
You already do a fine job


Website should be updated
No suggestions
quarterly newsletter
I think it's all accessible, I just have not needed it.
This is accessible as is.
No other way.
email alerts
newsletter
website is ideal
Occasional email with reminder of information and access to link; the occasional newsletter/update is helpful.
Very accessible.
Not sure how to make this more accessible. The CFAR website is organized nicely (I just checked it) and it is easy to find items I wanted.
The CFAR website and emails/listserv are very well-organized and helpful! No other suggestions!

Default Report

2017 CFAR Survey


March 1st 2017, 11:09 am EST

A1 - 1. How would you describe the level of communication from the CFAR (Director, Admin)?




#	Answer	%	Count
1	Not enough	6.30%	8
2	Just right	92.91%	118
3	Too much	0.79%	1
	Total	100%	127

A2 - 2. How useful is the information circulated by email (CFAR listserv), e.g., funding announcements, chalk talks, other events, newsletter?



#	Answer	%	Count
1	I dont read it	2.31%	3
2	Not useful	1.54%	2
3	Somewhat useful	16.15%	21
4	Useful	58.46%	76
5	Very useful	21.54%	28
	Total	100%	130

A3 - 3. How useful is the information on the CFAR website (<http://cfar.duke.edu/>)?



#	Answer	%	Count
1	I don't use it	15.50%	20
2	Not useful	0.00%	0
3	Somewhat useful	12.40%	16
4	Useful	52.71%	68
5	Very useful	19.38%	25
	Total	100%	129

A4 - 4. What information would you like to see on the CFAR website?

4. What information would you like to see on the CFAR website?
The CFAR site/organization is geared towards faculty almost exclusively. Therefore, I don't really fit into this survey. I do use the site and work on HIV related projects with Duke faculty and have an interest in the workshops, papers, presentation, and funding opportunities.
Everything seems pretty good
As far as additional information is concerned, perhaps links to review articles about different elements of the field. This is not perfect, of course, but CFAR members themselves could suggest the links.
not sure
it is sufficient
The CFAR website has definitely improved over the years! Publication tab is out of date.
I can't think of anything else
Section specifically promoted for enrolling HIV-related clinical trials. They are under the Clinical Core but few would know to look there.
No suggestions
I like the website. Please make sure the publications citing CFAR are up-to-date.
Talks from previous CFAR retreats available for download.
Investigator spotlight
New development and research trends
No suggestions
Doubtful that I will use it
Not sure. I haven't used the site yet. I'm a relatively new employee, so still getting used to everything.
Events, newsletter
On the list of members, it might be nice to indicate what their role in CFAR is (member, leadership)
rotating profiles on CFAR-connected faculty/trainees so we can see what people are doing?
Current research projects and new publications by CFAR members
Better links of searches to find things
News and articles related to CFAR or AIDS research
better navigation of the website
Information about grant opportunities
funding opportunities specific for early career investigators
Grant opportunities
No suggestions

Research highlights from large National and International HIV/AIDS conferences
is a well organize website
Everything is just right!
Possibly lists of Duke investigators who are members with links to their duke pages/websites. I recognize this could be overly burdensome to do given the large numbers, however.
No suggestions
I like the website - links publicizing cores and working groups are good.
good
emphasis on studies abroad, esp. in resource-poor nations
more up-to-date news items about what investigators are doing (not just grant announcements) links to events that are HIV/AIDS-related but not necessarily CFAR-sponsored
from a tech perspective, seeing sub-pages in a dropdown when browsing the top bar
the redesigned website is really good. nice mix of news, and is kept up to date
comments from investigators reporting how the different cores assisted them in their research
Updated, easily accessible calendar of events
The latest research results, the research methods
Updated information on projects, RFAs, collaborative opportunities especially across Cores
research updates; lectures coming; recurrent weekly meetings/schedules
The information there is great. Just need to make sure it is reviewed on a regular basis and up to date.
small grants that have been funded.

A5 - 5. What can the Administrative Core do to help you feel more connected with other CFAR investigators (e.g., networking events/mixers, CFAR journal clubs, casual research sharing events)?

5. What can the Administrative Core do to help you feel more connected with...
networking events/mixers and casual research sharing events
Perhaps have a subgroup for staff?
Networking events/mixers...smaller group retreats.
Everything is well communicated
The casual research sharing, looking out across all of Duke, could be increased.
I have enjoyed the annual meeting
it is sufficient
More events, round tables, networking events/mixers.
If Chalk Talks could be a different day instead of Monday, that would be great! Many other journal clubs or virology works in progress meetings are at noon on Monday, as well.
Doing a great job, already.
Don't know.
More networking events would be good, particularly late in the afternoon instead of the evening.
No suggestions- I appreciate what is provided.
All of the above suggestions sound great.
1) More networking events and 2) a monthly CFAR-specific seminar series that highlights previous or ongoing (research in progress) CFAR work from CFAR members.
Enough at the moment.
CFAR retreat, journal club
No suggestions
Not certain
The CFAR retreat was interesting. Possibly more active journal clubs/departmental talks to indicate how our work fits into the overall strategy to develop HIV vaccine and control infection from a global health perspective.
Networking events
The annual retreat is really key. Networking events would be nice.
sharing of research in lectures/ presentations
Research in progress meetings
Regular research retreats or chalk talks

Sharing research
Networking; I feel like the community engagement piece is only thought about with investigators once a year. The community advisory board would like to hear more about what is going on with clinical and behavioral cores, especially before the research is done.
Seminars or networking events organized by CFAR
I think casual research sharing events would be a welcome addition.
networking events
I really like the idea of having some kind of spring event (as Dr. Weinhold discussed at the last fall retreat).
OK as is.
Perhaps quarterly mixers/social events
research sharing events will be great and in addition organization of presentation from diverse field of expertise will be great.
Specific structure to build teams of investigators and students/trainees together (especially undergraduate if possible)
CFAR Journal Clubs
I think it would be helpful to connect the different cores more. They are very isolated most of the year.
No suggestions
journal club, casual research sharing
Networking events seem like a good idea to me.
networking events would be helpful
the retreat is good
Networking events and research sharing events.
satisfactory as is
more networking/mixers or events in a casual setting to meet each other/talk
send announcements with more advanced notice
more networking events would help increase core usage by CFAR investigators
networking events/mixers would be a good idea
networking events/mixers and periodic works in progress from CFAR members would be great.
Also cross-CFAR networking events would also be helpful (i.e. with UNC CFAR).
Casual research sharing events
Networking events, regular newsletters, lunch talks
Announce any recent investigative discoveries in the HIV immunology field.
maybe a networking event/mixer. The concern I have is that there are already so many functions on campus that adding one more networking event/mixer may not be effective.
networking events/mixers to bring in people outside of the usual HIV researchers

A6 - 6. CFAR membership is open to everyone. New CFAR members can sign up on our website.

Do you have any suggestions for potential new members of the Duke CFAR or suggestions for other ways to sign up members?

6. CFAR membership is open to everyone. New CFAR members can sign up on our...
at ongoing CFAR events
I do not use it very frequently
I am not clear what the CFAR can offer in terms of research opportunities
Have sign-up documentation or computers available at the end of various meetings, to allow new members to sign. Once they leave, they may forget.
Again advertise in online Duke media and especially DGHI.
I would suggest reaching out to laboratory staff. I would guess you will find a good number of them are not CFAR members despite their PI being one.
John Mitchell Sara LeGrand- I am still not listed on the site, but will fill in the form. Joe Egger
Advertising to department heads of related fields who can send out emails to their members.
No, have very strong group of investigators/reserachers already.
None
no
No suggestions
No
An email reminder annually would work.
Kick off/welcome event
Liping Feng
No
Networking
NO
No suggestions
No
Feature new members in the Newsletter and perhaps on the website.
No

No


link to faculty who incorporate HIV into their curriculum

Contact with department chairs about new faculty.

Contact fellows and trainees


Lab contacts could sign up new lab members as part of the on-boarding process

A7 - 7. In the last 3 years, how many CFAR Fall Scientific Retreats have you attended?



#	Answer	%	Count
1	0	14.50%	19
2	1	32.06%	42
3	2	21.37%	28
4	3	32.06%	42
	Total	100%	131

A7a - 7a. What is your overall rating of the CFAR retreat?



#	Answer	%	Count
1	Poor	0.00%	0
2	Fair	1.94%	2
3	Good	23.30%	24
4	Very good	53.40%	55
5	Excellent	21.36%	22
	Total	100%	103

A7b - 7b. What is the greatest strength of the retreat?

7b. What is the greatest strength of the retreat?
Showing the diversity of research and applications within HIV/AIDS community
Great overview of the research being done.
One day and at Duke.
Connecting people across Duke.
Learning about the broad range of research that are a part of CFAR
hearing the quality of research that is being conducted at DUMC
communication
Scientific presentations; posters and opportunity to mingle.
Poster session
Meeting colleagues to compare ideas.
Poster Session
A good variety of talks and a decent time for poster session.
Presentations
Highlights ongoing research, especially the posters, encourages young investigators and people new to research.
Multidisciplinary
gathering people who may have common research interest
The variety of talks across all the cores
investigators and networking
It's great to get a sense of the work of my Duke colleagues both through oral and poster presentations. I think the format fosters engagement of new investigators or those new to HIV research.
Strategic partnerships/scientific talks
Diverse talks across disciplines.
Diversity of topics including those in both cure and vaccine areas.
viewing work from different cores and networking
open our eyes and mind; and get to know the whole picture of HIV research area.
Networking opportunities
Variety of presenters.
Presentations were interesting. Keynote speaker gave interesting information regarding how from a top level perspective, how the government is viewing our research.
Variety of topics presented.

The diversity of research in HIV at Duke is highlighted during the retreat
Keynote address
Increasing knowledge
Opportunity to see other HIV investigators. The poster session is always great.
get to meet others doing similar research, see what resources are available
great display of science
Multidisciplinary, opportunities for networking/meeting collaborators
networking
Inform people what have achieved by CFAR members and establish new collaboration
Getting everyone together and finding out what's going on
The participation of all the investigators and staff
Members sharing their research achievements and new ideas
posters allows to interact with different fields. some of the plenary sessions have been great too
Getting to know others
Getting to see all the good work happening in the different areas of the CFAR
Letting young investigators present.
The Poster Session and the associated networking
Strong attendance
have an understanding of the field of research
Available information.
Poster Presentation
mixture of basic, clinical, and social scientists
Good talks, great to catch up with old friends.
Great variety of speakers and posters
Highlighted research presentations; Poster session
Poster session
Speakers/Research talks
Diverse areas of research
Bring together diverse HIV related research in one place.
Opportunity for young investigators to present. Meeting other individuals to learn about ongoing research
interacting with all aspects of CFAR
Introduction to work being done by CFAR grant awardees


Brining in other investigators not in the HIV/AIDS world to talk about how their research can intersect HIV/AIDS research
Depth of presentations
good diversity of topics (basic science, social science, clinical), brings people together
multidisciplinary
Nice mix of junior and senior investigator presentations. Very vigorous poster session
The assembly of investigators in one site
opportunities to hear about all of the research that is ongoing at Duke, across the clinical and scientific disciplines.
Learning of research going on at Duke, including indirectly related to HIV research Connecting with investigators not typically seen
Networking and presentations by young researchers.
Overview of activities and ability to meet other CFAR investigators
There were a variety of interesting speakers that were very knowledgeable about their subjects/presentations.
Diversity of topics, networking
sense of camaraderie
a nice way to see many CFAR members in one place and learn more about diverse research topics related to HIV/AIDS
Dissemination of current research findings and opportunities to network.
bringing the HIV research community together. presenting research from different areas- biomedical, social, clinical, basic, etc

A7c - 7c. How would you change the retreat in the future?

7c. How would you change the retreat in the future?
No change necessary at this point
Add an evening reception Select keynote speakers that are younger and not as well know to our groups already.
No changes needed
Better wine, ha.
Maybe breaking the session down to the type of science; i.e. basic research, translational research, clinical research, social/behaviorial research.
no change
I think the director's overview is very important - but I don't always make it there in time! Maybe it could be somewhere
Improve faculty participation at the poster session
Keeping on track/time.
Include video footage of the assay being performed and how the various research impacts patients, impacts families, impacts the world.
Not sure.
The round tables made it a little difficult for everyone to see the speakers
I don't have any suggestions. The last 2 years, I have simply had conflicts that prevented me from attending.
posters are a little crowded, maybe move them upstairs
Extend more invitations for oral presentations from abstracts. Select more than one person per category.
Good balance already in place.
Have breakout sessions to allow more one-one discussions with presenters
if can print or recommend valued research papers
No suggestions come to mind
no change needed
None
A full day is a lot. The basic vs. clinical research divide is a challenge. As a behavioral scientist, I often don't understand the basic science presentations, and it is sometimes tempting to want to step out. Could there be separate tracks (concurrent sessions) for part of it?
change format. very boring as is. needs to have a theme or something. change it up! small groups, facilitators, something!
the current format is very good.
Get the agenda out sooner so know whether attending morning, afternoon, or all.
A brief background in the oral presentation as not everyone is familiar with every topic

may be have an interactive networking event to foster potential collaborations?
not sure
No suggestions - it's a great event
I do not get much out of poster presentations.
Perhaps include roundtable discussions by resident experts on novel research topics
More (very) basic science talks.
I suggest to organize a around table lunch where people are encouraged to sit together and be able to interact more closely
I wouldn't. It's just right.
The research is valuable as is, I don't feel it needs to be changed. It also provides a venue where research staff/technicians/students have the opportunity to present their work.
Increase exposure to specific research studies (perhaps through brief TED-talk type talks?)
No changes
I think it is very good the way it is now
it's good
more opportunity for discussion
panel at the end has low attendance because people leave after keynote for end of day (end earlier/switch order)
have a formal mechanism to educate investigators about core services
The retreat starts getting thin mid afternoon. Not sure if there is a way to condense the agenda to make it feel more full
Provide more opportunity for social /collaborative interaction
Continue to evolve and grow.
N/A
maybe later the format every other year with one year being our current format and an alternate year having smaller break out sessions?
More basic science, less sociology


A7d - 7d. We would like to know which sessions are most interesting and helpful to attendees. How valuable did you find each of the following sessions at the retreat?



#	Question	Not valuable	Somewhat valuable	Very valuable	Total
1	Director's Overview	0.00% 0	12.75% 13	87.25% 89	102
2	New Faculty Recruits, Small Grant Recipients, and Developmental Core Activities	0.96% 1	26.92% 28	72.12% 75	104
3	Networking and Poster Presentations	0.00% 0	20.19% 21	79.81% 83	104
4	Oral Presentations from Selected Abstract Submissions	0.00% 0	18.27% 19	81.73% 85	104
5	Keynote Address	0.00% 0	15.00% 15	85.00% 85	100

6	CFAR Strategic Partnership Presentations	1.01%	1	36.36%	36	62.63%	62	99
---	--	-------	---	--------	----	--------	----	----

A8 - 8. In addition to the fall retreat, would you be interested in a CFAR-wide event in the spring?



#	Answer	%	Count
1	Yes	77.17%	98
2	No	22.83%	29
	Total	100%	127


A8a - 8a. What would you like to see at a spring event? (e.g., discussions, presentations, breakout sessions, posters, informal networking). Please include any new format that would be of interest.

8a. What would you like to see at a spring event? (e.g., discussions, pr...
same format as the Fall event
Posters and small presentations.
Presentations and poster sessions
Same as Fall event.
There could be a primary focus for each event. It could even emanate from the Core foci.
mini seminars
discussions and presentations
Maybe an emphasis on CFAR small grants and new/young investigators. It would be nice to have presentations that provide an opportunity for feedback on moving from small grant funding to NIH applications. Possible panels/discussions: grant-writing advice from senior investigators; transitioning from small-Rs to an R01; NIH AIDS priorities; working with a program officer. The event should end with an opportunity for networking / socializing.
Plenary and short talks.
breakout sessions, informal networking/mixer
Breakout sessions, presentations, posters
Have a session with HIV+ people on a panel discussing whatever the community is interested in getting out to the research community. A lot of people who work behind the scenes in the labs may never have met HIV+ people. We might even encourage people who have participated in clinical trials and other research studies to share their insights and experiences. I think connecting the abstract with the real might be a good experience for all.
Presentations
Posters and informal networking
I think a different format would be great. Perhaps discussions around specific areas of interest?
career development opportunities for trainees if science, maybe a different format like flash talks alternatively, it would be interesting to hear the state of the art for things like vaccine immunogen design, clinical trials, or PrEP work
Informal networking. Discussions. Break out sessions.
A mix of presentations and discussions esp. for juniors
all if time allows
Recent experimental results
Mainly presentation/departmental talks.
Presentations, posters, networking.

informal networking
Breakout sessions, informal networking
Informal networks, breakout sessions around "hot" topics with discussion
breakout networking/ research collaboration
All of the above!
Scientific presentations and discussions
Presentations and networking
All of the above
Presentations, posters and workshops
informal networking
Discussions and presentations
Perhaps build agenda around roundtable discussions and breakout sessions on high priority HIV/AIDS research topics
I will love to see more informal networking
posters, interdisciplinary team building
yes
Presentations, Posters and informal networking
Maybe something focused more on idea/project development...perhaps breakout session based with the intention of developing new research ideas focused on areas of NIH/NIAD priorities.
presentations, posters
presentations, breakout sessions, networking
Anything that connected the different cores/topics across the CFAR, but something more organized than just posters or informal networking.
Presentations
Breakout sessions
Break out sessions
informal networking, posters.
networking, collaboration development
More informal working type meetings to try to develop new partnerships for projects
Breakout sessions
Informal networking
Presentations of current work and future directions -- informal networking.
all of above
discussions/breakout sessions by topic, more interactive sessions
networking event
Discussions, informal networking, maybe short presentations of ongoing work, support for collaboration around

new funding opps.
Presentations, discussions, presentations on how to get involved in other departments extracurricularly, presentations on relevant groups/organizations
I think more informal networking would be ideal to stimulate more organic collaborations.
breakout sessions
informal working group meetings around an area of interest.
Discussions, break out sessions related to NIH priorities, informal networking
Less formal discussions
Presentations and posters
Discussions, informal networking
Discussions, presentations and informal networking to become more familiar with the advancements in the HIV-medical community.
discussions and breakout sessions, informal networking
informal networking to bring researchers new to HIV research

A8b - 8b. How long would you like the event to be?




#	Answer	%	Count
1	Half day	73.20%	71
2	Full day	26.80%	26
	Total	100%	97

Default Report

2017 CFAR Survey

March 1st 2017, 11:10 am EST

B1 - 1. Which services have you utilized in the Developmental Core? (check all that apply)



#	Answer	%	Count
1	Small Grant application	75.00%	18
2	Faculty developmental support	25.00%	6
3	Grant Pre-review	33.33%	8
4	Small grant study section early career grant review	29.17%	7
5	Mentoring	20.83%	5


6	Administrative supplement	16.67%	4
7	Other type of support (please specify):	4.17%	1
	Total	100%	24

Other type of support (please specify):

Other type of support (please specify):


Drafting a Data Analysis Grant Section

B2 - 2. What is your level of overall satisfaction with the services provided by the CFAR Developmental Core?



#	Answer	%	Count
1	Poor	0.00%	0
2	Fair	0.00%	0
3	Good	29.17%	7
4	Very Good	33.33%	8
5	Excellent	37.50%	9
	Total	100%	24

B3 - 3. Please indicate your interest in the following potential services/support from the Developmental Core.



#	Question	Not interested		Somewhat interested		Very interested		Total
1	Networking events	12.50%	3	29.17%	7	58.33%	14	24
2	New-to-AIDS funding info	21.74%	5	21.74%	5	56.52%	13	23
3	Micro funding opportunity grants (small but crucial resources not easily or immediately obtained through other funding mechanisms)	0.00%	0	45.83%	11	54.17%	13	24

B4 - 4. What additional services/support would you like provided by the Developmental Core?

4. What additional services/support would you be interested in?

No suggestions


Perhaps Developmental Core-related Chalk Talks and specific outreach to Duke Basic Science faculty

Default Report

2017 CFAR Survey

March 1st 2017, 11:10 am EST

C1 - 1. Which services have you used in the CFAR Immunology Core? (check all that apply)




#	Answer	%	Count
1	HIV-1 Antibody binding assays (Tomaras)	30.23%	13

2	Peptide Array (Tomaras)	16.28%	7
3	Antibody purification (Tomaras)	6.98%	3
4	DNA isolations (Tomaras)	6.98%	3
5	RNA isolations (Tomaras)	9.30%	4
6	IgG isolations and purifications, human samples (Tomaras)	4.65%	2
7	IgG isolations and purifications, non-human primate samples (Tomaras)	6.98%	3
8	Virus capture (Tomaras)	6.98%	3
9	Phagocytosis (Tomaras)	6.98%	3
10	FcR binding and avidity (Tomaras)	9.30%	4
11	Mucosal antibodies (Tomaras)	13.95%	6
12	HIV-1 neutralization assays (Montefiori)	30.23%	13
13	Antibody-Dependent Cellular Cytotoxicity (ADCC) assays (Ferrari)	30.23%	13
14	IFN- γ ELISpot assays (Ferrari)	2.33%	1
15	1-4 color staining (Murdoch/Moody)	6.98%	3
16	Polychromatic (i.e. >4 colors) staining (Murdoch/Moody)	20.93%	9
17	Intracellular cytokine staining (ICS) (Murdoch/Moody)	11.63%	5
18	BSL2 cell sorting (Murdoch/Moody)	16.28%	7
19	BSL3 cell sorting (Murdoch/Moody)	4.65%	2
20	PBMC isolation and/or viable cryopreservation (Murdoch/Moody)	11.63%	5
21	Panel design (Murdoch/Moody)	16.28%	7
22	Data analysis (Murdoch/Moody)	16.28%	7
23	Quality Assurance/Quality Control Consultation and support (Sarzotti-Kelsoe)	27.91%	12
24	Cost estimation	13.95%	6
25	Consultation	18.60%	8
26	Training	16.28%	7
27	Materials	20.93%	9
28	Space	13.95%	6
29	Equipment	23.26%	10
30	Other type of support (please specify)	6.98%	3
	Total	100%	43

Other type of support (please specify)

Other type of support (please specify)
T cell work- Ferrari
Flow cytometry
Lumenex


C2 - 2. What is your level of overall satisfaction with the services provided by each of the following CFAR Immunology Core components?



#	Question	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total
1	Binding Antibody Component (Tomaras)	0.00%	0	8.33%	1	83.33%	10
2	Neutralizing Antibody Assays (Montefiori)	0.00%	0	6.67%	1	80.00%	12
3	Cellular Cytotoxicity Laboratory (Ferrari)	0.00%	0	13.33%	2	86.67%	13
4	Flow Cytometry (Murdoch/Moody)	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	73.68%	14


5	Central Quality Assurance Unit (CQAU) (Sarzotti-Kelsoe)	0.00%	0	6.25%	1	6.25%	1	37.50%	6	50.00%	8	16
---	---	-------	---	-------	---	-------	---	--------	---	--------	---	----

C3 - 3. What is your level of satisfaction with the overall quality of the assay results produced from the CFAR Immunology Core components?



#	Question	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total
1	Binding Antibody Component (Tomaras)	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	8.33%	11
2	Neutralizing Antibody Assays (Montefiori)	0.00%	0	5.88%	1	17.65%	13
3	Cellular Cytotoxicity Laboratory (Ferrari)	0.00%	0	7.69%	1	76.92%	10
4	Flow Cytometry (Murdoch/Moody)	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	20.00%	16

C4 - 4. What is your level of satisfaction with your interactions with the Immunology Core staff (i.e. timeliness, responsiveness, helpfulness, etc.)



#	Question	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total
1	Binding Antibody Component (Tomaras)	0.00% 0	7.69% 1	0.00% 0	7.69% 1	84.62% 11	13
2	Neutralizing Antibody Assays (Montefiori)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	11.11% 2	11.11% 2	77.78% 14	18
3	Cellular Cytotoxicity Laboratory (Ferrari)	0.00% 0	6.67% 1	0.00% 0	13.33% 2	80.00% 12	15
4	Flow Cytometry (Murdoch/Moody)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	4.76% 1	23.81% 5	71.43% 15	21

5	Central Quality Assurance Unit (CQAU) (Sarzotti-Kelsoe)	0.00%	0	6.67%	1	20.00%	3	26.67%	4	46.67%	7	15
---	--	-------	---	-------	---	--------	---	--------	---	--------	---	----

C5 - 5. What other immunologic assay services would be of benefit to your ongoing or future HIV/AIDS research?

5. What other immunologic assay services would be of benefit to your ongoing...

Can't think of any.

N/A

None

No suggestions

None

Most of my contact with this core is via collaborations with David Murdoch.

Luminex

C6 - 6. Do you have any suggestions for improvement to the Immunology Core?


7. Do you have any suggestions for improvement to the Immunology Core?
No
N/A
No
I would like to see a more efficient data analysis, this part of the service is very slow many times I am told to do the analysis myself even though I have requested the core to do it for me, and we are paying for the service.
NO
NO
Sustained dissemination of specific services would be helpful

Default Report

2017 CFAR Survey

March 1st 2017, 11:11 am EST

E1 - 1. Which best describes you?




#	Answer	%	Count
1	Clinician	25.93%	7
2	Basic researcher	51.85%	14
3	Other, e.g., postdoc, technician, coordinator (please specify)	22.22%	6
	Total	100%	27

Other, e.g., postdoc, technician, coordinator (please specify)

Other, e.g., postdoc, technician, coordinator (please specify)
Social science researcher
Program Manager
administrator
Pre Doc
lab manager

E2 - 2. Which services have you utilized in the CFAR Biostatistics and Computational Biology Core? (check all that apply)




#	Answer	%	Count
1	Statistical consulting for a poster	20.00%	5
2	Statistical consulting for a manuscript	48.00%	12
3	Statistical consulting for a grant proposal	68.00%	17
4	Data analysis	52.00%	13
5	Software development	4.00%	1

6	Development of new statistical, bioinformatics or computational biology methods	16.00%	4
7	Attended training workshop	12.00%	3
8	Other type of support (please specify)	4.00%	1
	Total	100%	25

Other type of support (please specify)


Other type of support (please specify)
Drop-In

E4 - 4. What is your level of overall satisfaction with the services provided by the CFAR Biostatistics and Computational Biology Core?




#	Answer	%	Count
1	Poor	0.00%	0
2	Fair	8.70%	2
3	Good	13.04%	3
4	Very Good	47.83%	11
5	Excellent	30.43%	7
	Total	100%	23

E5 - 5. What is your level of overall satisfaction with your interactions with the Core staff (i.e. timeliness, responsiveness, helpfulness, etc.)?




#	Answer	%	Count
1	Poor	4.17%	1
2	Fair	0.00%	0
3	Good	20.83%	5
4	Very Good	29.17%	7
5	Excellent	45.83%	11
	Total	100%	24

E6a - 6a. What is the optimal duration of such a workshop for you?



#	Answer	%	Count
1	Less than 2 days	43.48%	10
2	2 days	52.17%	12
3	3 days	4.35%	1
4	4 days	0.00%	0
5	5 days	0.00%	0
	Total	100%	23

E6b - 6b. Which topics would you most like to see in such a workshop? Choose as many as you like.



#	Answer	%	Count
1	Use of the command line and automating tasks with regular expressions and scripts	4.55%	1
2	Learning Python - a general purpose scripting language with extensive libraries for biomedical analysis	13.64%	3
3	Specific data analysis modules (e.g. flow cytometry, sequence or phylogenetic analysis, expression arrays, next-gen sequencing)	54.55%	12
4	Data analysis with open source tools (with focus on R)	50.00%	11

5	Data analysis with open source tools (with focus on Python)	13.64%	3
6	Designing scientific graphics with bitmap and vector graphics packages	18.18%	4
7	Using relational databases	27.27%	6
8	Basic statistics for biologists	81.82%	18
9	Other topic idea (please specify)	13.64%	3
	Total	100%	22

Other topic idea (please specify)

Other topic idea (please specify)
Not just data analysis available methodology, but how to think about data analysis.
I would like to solicit topics that might be relevant for SBS researchers.
Basic statistics for physicians

E3 - 3. What additional services would you like provided by the Biostatistics and Computational Biology Core?

3. What additional services would you like provided by the Biostatistics an...

In my home Department (BME) we continue to work on improving our teaching of statistics to our undergrads, grad students, post docs, and even faculty. There is an interface between engineering approaches to dealing with uncertainty (which are usually deterministic) and the more common stochastic and empirical approaches by 'card carrying statisticians.' Each has something to offer to the other. Perhaps Medx could help with this, in partnership with the Core.

I would like to see more expertise in the Biostats Core for social and behavioral sciences. I have attended the Biostats Drop-In, but didn't find it very useful, as I felt that the statisticians there weren't really talking a "language" that was relevant for SBS research.

the CFAR biostatistics core is the most functional and available statistical support in the SoM, especially for brief consultations and general questions/education. If this model could influence the way other biostatistical support is offered, that would be great.

Please provide walk-in clinic on other days as well. So far, you've only provided assistance on Wednesdays!

This core is a fantastic resource that I use regularly.

training for basic scientists, introduction to R


No suggestions

Default Report

2017 CFAR Survey

March 1st 2017, 11:12 am EST

F1 - 1. Which services have you used in the Clinical Core? (check all that apply)



#	Answer	%	Count
1	Consultations regarding grant applications	31.58%	6

2	Consultation about study design and feasibility	21.05%	4
3	Access to patient populations	52.63%	10
4	Subject recruitment	42.11%	8
5	Assistance with study coordination	31.58%	6
6	Database searching	10.53%	2
7	Identification and/or procurement of specific types of stored specimens from previous studies (repository)	42.11%	8
8	Identification and/or procurement of fresh samples from patient populations	21.05%	4
9	Assistance with regulatory submissions both domestic and international	21.05%	4
10	Other type of support (please specify)	5.26%	1
	Total	100%	19

Other type of support (please specify)

Other type of support (please specify)
Having investigators come talk to the CAB

F2 - 2. What additional services would you like provided by the Clinical Core?

2. What additional services would you like provided by the Clinical Core?

More guidance on the use of clinical biomarkers (e.g., viral load, CD4, clinical adherence markers) in research. A chalk talk on this topic would be very helpful.


Not sure.

More active assistance with recruitment of patients at the ID clinic.

Greater emphasis put on CAB and role of the CAB in clinical research


Help with ID clinic recruitment is really invaluable. It's hard for researchers who don't have a constant presence in the clinic to effectively recruit there.

F3 - 3. What is your level of overall satisfaction with the services provided by the CFAR Clinical Core?



#	Answer	%	Count
1	Poor	0.00%	0
2	Fair	0.00%	0
3	Good	16.67%	3
4	Very Good	50.00%	9
5	Excellent	33.33%	6
	Total	100%	18

F5 - 5. How connected do you feel with other investigators in the Clinical Core?



#	Answer	%	Count
1	Not at all	0.00%	0
2	Only a little	11.76%	2
3	Fairly connected	64.71%	11
4	Very connected	23.53%	4
	Total	100%	17

F6 - 6. What can the Clinical Core do to help you feel more connected with other investigators?


5. What can the Clinical Core do to help you feel more connected with other...

Not sure.

As noted previously

No suggestions

F4 - 4. What is your level of overall satisfaction with your interactions with the Core staff (i.e. timeliness, responsiveness, helpfulness, etc.)?




#	Answer	%	Count
1	Poor	0.00%	0
2	Fair	0.00%	0
3	Good	11.11%	2
4	Very Good	33.33%	6
5	Excellent	55.56%	10
	Total	100%	18

Default Report

2017 CFAR Survey

March 1st 2017, 11:13 am EST

G1 - 1. Which services have you used in the SBS Core? (check all that apply)



#	Answer	%	Count
1	Early planning and conceptualization of research (e.g., research ideas, funding opportunities, NIH AIDS priorities)	56.52%	13

2	Peer review prior to grant submission	65.22%	15
3	Support in grant preparation (e.g., scientific input, admin support, supplemental materials)	34.78%	8
4	Support or consultation on a funded grant	30.43%	7
5	Support in a CFAR Small/Developmental Grant (application and/or funded)	30.43%	7
6	Review or advice on manuscript or presentation	26.09%	6
7	Measures selection	26.09%	6
8	Community feedback / dissemination	8.70%	2
9	Networking (includes attending any SBS-specific events)	30.43%	7
10	Mentoring	21.74%	5
11	Training	8.70%	2
12	Other type of consultation (please specify)	0.00%	0
13	Other type of service (please specify)	0.00%	0
	Total	100%	23

Data source misconfigured for this visualization

Other type of service (please specify)

Other type of service (please specify)

G2 - 2. What additional services would you like provided by the SBS Core?


2. What additional services would you like provided by the SBS Core?

N/A

I think a compilation of research related to SBS and HIV sent through a listserv (biweekly?) would be incredibly helpful. I very much valued Dr. Malow's email compilations in the past. It would provide an easy way to stay updated on the current literature.


Thank the Social Behavioral Core for its representation at CAB meetings. Would like to see this continue with new staff hired.

G3 - 3. What is your level of overall satisfaction with the services provided by the CFAR SBS Core?



#	Answer	%	Count
1	Poor	0.00%	0
2	Fair	4.55%	1
3	Good	4.55%	1
4	Very Good	27.27%	6
5	Excellent	63.64%	14
	Total	100%	22

G5 - 5. How connected do you feel with other investigators in the SBS Core?



#	Answer	%	Count
1	Not at all	4.55%	1
2	Only a little	4.55%	1
3	Fairly connected	40.91%	9
4	Very connected	50.00%	11
	Total	100%	22

G6 - 6. What can the SBS Core do to help you feel more connected with other investigators?

5. What can the SBS Core do to help you feel more connected with other inve...

N/A

As previously noted for Clinical Core, more engagement with the CAB, even though this Core does the best in coming to talk to the CAB and even notes community engagement in this survey.


I live in Charlotte so this is more difficult!

SBS-specific events to bring people together for conversation not just over email

More networking events, discussion-based talks

I live and work abroad so it is simply a logistics challenge

G7 - 7. In the next year, the SBS Core would like to focus on supporting SBS investigators in integrated behavioral/biomedical research. How interested would you be in the following activities?



#	Question	Not interested		Somewhat interested		Very interested		Total
1	Speakers on biomedical prevention, e.g., PrEP	4.55%	1	18.18%	4	77.27%	17	22
2	Involvement in a new working group on biomedical prevention, e.g., PrEP	23.81%	5	19.05%	4	57.14%	12	21
3	Workshops on the use of biomarkers in behavioral research	4.55%	1	36.36%	8	59.09%	13	22
4	Individual consultations with Clinical Core investigators for advice on biomarkers	4.76%	1	57.14%	12	38.10%	8	21
5	Meetings to discuss RFAs to develop collaborative research addressing NIH priorities	9.09%	2	36.36%	8	54.55%	12	22

G8 - 8. Please provide ideas for other activities to support this topic


8. Please provide ideas for other activities to support this topic

N/A

None

Resources on lists of biomarkers for common outcomes and examples of grant proposal sections where these are proposed; any information on costs and procedures of the specific biomarkers would be helpful. In my case, having quick access to this information for proposals would be helpful even if there isn't time to fully engage a collaborator at the proposal stage with specific expertise in use of that biomarker.

G9 - 9. Where is your research (current and/or planned) located?



#	Answer	%	Count
1	International	28.57%	6
2	U.S.	33.33%	7
3	Both	38.10%	8
	Total	100%	21

G10 - 10. Please note any additional feedback you have for the SBS Core


10. Please note any additional feedback you have for the SBS Core

I have always appreciated the responsiveness of the SBS Core. The peer reviews for new grant applications have been particularly helpful.

N/A

The SBS Core provided invaluable support for a grant proposal for the NIH. The peer review process led to significant improvements, and the logistical and administrative support led to a smooth submission process.

G4 - 4. What is your level of overall satisfaction with your interactions with the Core staff (i.e. timeliness, responsiveness, helpfulness, etc.)?




#	Answer	%	Count
1	Poor	0.00%	0
2	Fair	0.00%	0
3	Good	4.76%	1
4	Very Good	19.05%	4
5	Excellent	76.19%	16
	Total	100%	21

Default Report

2017 CFAR Survey


March 1st 2017, 11:14 am EST

8a - 8a. How well do you think your research fits in the funding priorities?




#	Answer	%	Count
1	Does not fit priorities	4.00%	4
2	Fits a little	10.00%	10
3	Fits moderately well	19.00%	19
4	Fits very well	47.00%	47
5	Not sure	20.00%	20
	Total	100%	100

8b - 8b. How prepared do you feel to frame your research to address these priorities?



#	Answer	%	Count
1	Not at all prepared	6.90%	6
2	A little prepared	17.24%	15
3	Somewhat prepared	54.02%	47
4	Very prepared	21.84%	19
	Total	100%	87

1 - 1. What is your overall rating of the Duke CFAR?



#	Answer	%	Count
1	Poor	0.00%	0
2	Fair	0.83%	1
3	Good	10.00%	12
4	Very Good	50.83%	61
5	Excellent	38.33%	46
	Total	100%	120

2 - 2. We would like to know how our users perceive the CFAR's role. Please describe, from your perspective, what the CFAR does in one sentence.

2. We would like to know how our users perceive the CFAR's role. Please des...
CFAR role is to disseminate knowledge garnered by researchers in the HIV/AIDS field to the community.
The CFAR's role is to promote scientific collaboration in the field of HIV, and sponsors education and training programs.
Facilitates interactions.
Fosters communication, collaboration and creativity amongst the HIV/AIDS research community at Duke.
promotes research excellence in the field of HIV/AIDS
Helps to expend scientific knowlegde
The CFAR supports a network of established and new HIV investigators, providing support for on-going funded HIV research projects and helping investigators to be competitive for new HIV funding.
Provides awareness and support for HIV-1 research on campus.
Working together as one body of scientists to find a AIDS vaccine or cure
Provides the means to assist researchers to do the research that they want to do.
The CFAR ties together many varied services for investigators interested in HIV to improve collaboration and reduce duplication of efforts.
Unrelated, but I still am not able to fill out the form to be added to the CFAR website as an investigator.
Provides resources including funding opportunities and scientific networking in all aspects of research related to HIV/AIDS
Helps individual investigators with assays, scientific advice, and dissemination of critical information, and facilitates networking between diverse group of HIV investigators at Duke, and foster potential collaborations.
To facilitate AIDS research at Duke and with Duke collaborators.
Provide quality services not available in my laboratory
It acts as a collective research group in finding/discovering scientific advances regarding HIV vaccine development
The CFAR facilitates collaboration between labs for HIV/AIDS research.
CFAR coordinates research efforts across departments at Duke
Provides a community of scientists with tools that they can easily access in order to add to their knowledge and further their research.
The CFAR supports Duke investigators conducting HIV research, including consultations, peer reviews, and networking.
support HIV associated research development
CFAR provides support for HIV investigators .
Provides support to HIV/AIDS investigators to develop and execute collaborative projects

Connect all investigators together and make research facilities available.
Provides small grants and support for HIV research.
It is an in house integrated group of services, available to all Duke investigators
Facilitating and supporting AIDS research
Provides support to HIV researchers
Provide infrastructure and support for Aids related research
The CFAR promotes and supports HIV research at Duke
Focus on HIV/AIDS research and training.
CFAR provides important infrastructure and a user-friendly environment that supports ongoing research and facilitates new collaborations
Bring together and provide resources for HIV researchers at Duke
focus on Aids research development and improvement
Work to bring researchers with difference AIDS interest together
Provides services to the clinical as well as the research for AIDS research.
The CFAR provides infrastructure and support to a diverse network of scientist with the shared goal of reducing the burden of HIV/AIDS disease.
Helps investigators and staff with experimental and administrative tasks
Focus on curing/prevening HIV, however is also a general resource for other research
Helps connect AIDS/HIV researchers across Duke
Provides a central place for AIDS-related research support services in terms of connecting researchers from different parts of the university and providing topic-specific consultations (e.g., core peer review, biostats)
It gathers the DUKE scientific community interested in HIV research.
Overall framework for HIV/AIDS research on campus, catalyzing collaborations, strengthening existing research and promoting new funding opportunities and faculty.
An excellent support system to the Duke HIV/AIDS community
Brings together researchers who work on HIV/AIDS.
Facilitates AIDS reseach at Duke
Integration of HIV/AIDS relevant research at Duke and supporting/bringing new people into HIV/AIDS research
CFAR provides a mechanisms to support and engage Duke investigators in developing and implemeting innovatibe HIV-related research.
The CFAR supports HIV/AIDS investigators across disciplines by providing services and opportunities for collaboration
networking and core services
Shared resource is very important.
The CFAR serves a central body to facilitate the interaction and collaboration among HIV researchers both within and external to Duke and to bring non-HIV researchers into the field.
To provide resources and collaboration opportunities to strengthen HIV/AIDS research at Duke.

CFAR provides support and services to enhance HIV research and collaboration.

Umbrella organization for researchers with shared interests

CFAR provides people the opportunity to share their research and knowledge with attendees.

The CFAR provides collaborative and investigative research across a broad range of immunological aspects of the HIV virus and presents its findings through publications and informational sessions to the scientific community.

CFAR provides research support through funding and scientific/administrative input towards successful HIV research towards prevention and cure of HIV/AIDS.

The CFAR provides infrastructure to support HIV/AIDS research at Duke and the community.

The CFAR enhances dissemination of both research opportunities and research findings, as well as communication amongst research faculty, staff, and patient populations.

3 - 3. What aspects of CFAR would you like to understand better?

3. What aspects of CFAR would you like to understand better?
Not sure.
Young scientist potential in program
None
how protocols are supported
Funding opportunities
CHALK talks, Grand rounds
Small grant approval process.
None
How it relates to AIDS-related research i.e. research that is not explicitly AIDS-related. Does CFAR operate in this capacity?
How my work impacts the research community (reference lab work often is conducted with only minimal insights into how the results will drive future study).
If they provide funding or just notify of grants available through other entities.
The leadership structure and how one might become involved at the leadership level
support options
How CFARs nationwide are connected and inter-related
Overall research portfolio
National CFAR and requirements of the CFAR grant
interactions with other fields
No suggestions
Do not know.
Overall Strategic Plan
How it can help very basic researchers.
the role played in the integration with other disciplines such as informatics and engineer
Grants
the history and what the other cores do
None
resources
What is relationship between CFAR and CHAVI and the HIV/AIDS T32 program at Duke?

Regulatory assistance

Use of Biostat Core.

4 - 4. What do you see as the strengths / value-added aspects of the Duke CFAR?

4. What do you see as the strengths/value added aspects of the Duke CFAR?
Showcasing research that I would otherwise not know about.
Expanding my understanding of the science and medicine of the field, and stimulating improved and additional applications of that understanding.
brings together a core of outstanding researchers
I appreciate the networking with other investigators, the support and advice received from Core leadership, and the CFAR small grant mechanism.
Outstanding faculty
Collaborating with other scientists all over the world.
Being able to collaborate across cores and disciplines.
Increased collaboration and less duplication of efforts
pilot grants, career development
Rich environment collaborations and resources/facilities available are superb
The network and infrastructure it provides.
Not sure - only minimal experience with CFAR so far.
The CFAR connects labs and supports collaboration so that everyone benefits from each others research findings.
The CFAR provides a wide array of tools that investigators may need access to in order to research a particular area of interest.
It create a community of HIV investigators
Great opportunity to identify collaborators, fund pilot projects
new working groups
Experience! The CFAR team has a lot to offer young researchers.
The statistical support that is available through the Duke CFAR is fantastic, both for the preparation of grants as well as final analyses for manuscripts.
support to early stage investigators
They do a lot of great work to support HIV research at Duke
Do not know.
Infrastructure support, recruitment support, and specializes services by the Cores
Connections
the great expertise
The collaboration between departments.

Core services and administrative support
Immunology core is very helpful
A central place to go for information related to AIDS-specific research regardless of department/discipline
Providing start-up grants to young investigators.
Opportunity to provide pilot grants for new projects and help in recruiting new talent
community of like-minded scientists
Most investigators collected in one group, so easier to get broad range of expertise
Training/mentoring for new investigators Networking with other HIV/AIDS researchers
Includes a variety of researchers/staff across campus
networking and core services
Increased awareness of HIV research at Duke Connection of clinical work to basic research funding opportunities
Integration of clinical, behavioral and basic science
Brings investigators together/ provides core resources
enhance interaction/collaboration between different research groups

5 - 5. What do you see as the weaknesses/limitations of the Duke CFAR?

5. What do you see as the weaknesses/limitations of the Duke CFAR?
N/A
I don't see significant weaknesses.
core seems like a closed group
Long surveys
Not enough people are aware of the services offered.
feels somewhat diffuse at times, tries to do everything, but that is somewhat the nature of the beast
None of significance.
I don't know it well enough to know.
Not sure - only minimal experience with CFAR so far.
I have not seen any.
Not sure. One challenge may be the large number of members with disparate research interests, which makes bringing us together difficult.
None
don't see any
CAB is not thought of until needs to be.
wondering if there is a way to better connect investigators from different fields to foster new collaborations/projects
It would be great for small grants to be open to postdocs again
Do not know.
Better access to clinical samples, especially PBMC.
Research valued and presented is very applied and/or community related more than basic, so it's less valuable to me than perhaps it could be.
restricted to itself
none
Minor issue is that CFAR seminars/chalk talks are often held monday at noon, which conflicts with the Center For Virology Works in Progress Meeting and the DHVI Journal Club, both of which are widely attended by students, postdocs, and faculty
None
none
if you aren't in the main antibody group, often feel left out - either because of the lingo they use or just not knowing as many people
Can feel disconnected across cores

Limited funds and ability to fully participate in large game changing initiatives on the campus

Wide range of research, not always fully understood

not enough funding available from NIH

Not enough virology/basic science. Too much sociology

6 - 6. How can the Duke CFAR better support you?

6. How can the Duke CFAR better support you?
N/A
Providing more interdisciplinary student/post doc training opportunities.
not sure
More small grant opportunities.
Not sure
Advertise the services offered.
I am already well supported by CFAR, no complaints.
No suggestions.
More opportunities to learn new skills and get involved in research strategies.
More exposure to research done (like we do in the fall retreat).
Access to the BSL3 facility
Greater involvement with the Clinical and Social Behavioral Cores to get investigators to see the role and benefits of the CAB in their research.
support development of new skills, networking with investigators from other skills
targeted grant announcements
No suggestions
OK as is.
Grant pre-submission peer review, Letters of Support for grant applications, greater discounting for Core services.
with more wide initiatives
I am satisfied with the support from the Duke CFAR.
N/A
Keep doing what you are doing
good
Continue expansion of small /developmental grants
maybe have more frequent small group meetings on specific topics of interest (HIV vaccines, mentoring, HIV comorbidities, etc.)

7 - 7. How can we get you more engaged with the CFAR?

7. How can we get you more engaged with the CFAR?
Not sure.
Just guilt trip me, ha.
I am not sure my clinical load will allow any time to pursue new avenues of research - I f there were existing data bases and stats support?
Increase the number of guest speaker seminars
Text messaging meetings
Already very engaged.
I do very little AIDS-related research and therefore I suspect there would be no real strategy to get my more engaged except for if I expanded my research portfolio.
Just opportunities to get involved in original research.
A spring retreat.
It's not clear what opportunities there are to get involved
attend stats review walk ins
Keep me informed about CFAR events
As noted above.
No suggestions
OK as is.
Participation in Retreat, Seminar, Chalk Talk planning
partecipation to informal research discussion and seminars
I need more time to learn about CFAR
I love the chalk talks. These aren't well attended by DHVI, where there are a lot of HIV/AIDS researchers, and this might be because the chalk talks are often at the same time as the DHVI journal club series. Could we move the chalk talks to another day?
N/A
You are doing all that you need to do...It's up to individuals to make the committment
not sure
As is there is a good balance between activities, emails, and events.
Happy with level of engagement
Include more social opportunities for the researchers to learn about the work they are doing to generate their lab's results and how these results benefit the CFAR.

9 - 9. The Duke CFAR facilitates informal discussions and hosts speakers (sometimes called “Chalk Talks”). What topic(s) would be of most interest to you? Do you have suggestions for potential speakers (both from Duke and beyond)?

8. The Duke CFAR facilitates informal discussions and hosts speakers (somet...
I enjoy the Chalk Talks very much. The wide-range of topics make the Chalk Talks very interesting.
Again, the interface with engineers, and also the interface between deterministic data analysis and stochastic/empirical data analysis.
genital tract/reproductive issues
Biomedical markers for use in behavioral research.
Basic immunology
Can't think of anything more
Don't have any in mind presently.
as noted above, state-of-the-art talks on issues like vaccine design and clinical trials to keep those not in the field abreast of recent advances both clinically/immunologically and technically (eg platforms)
Any topics covering new concepts in HIV vaccine development.
Disease modeling talks.
Not sure yet. Still trying to get an idea of all the work that is being conducted.
HIV latently infected cells, HIV latent reservoir elimination
PrEP, HIV care cascade, the opioid/IDU epidemic
HIV associated cancer
HIV AIDS malignancies
Basic research of HIV
not sure
Experts in the field of broadly neutralizing antibodies research and development and new approaches to eliminate the viral reservoirs
HIV microbiome research
simulation and modeling methods
No suggestions
Do not know.
Various aspects of 'Cure' research, AIDS-related cancer research...
n/a
The most interesting/interactive chalk talks have been those given on topics most of the CFAR members are not

familiar with, such as the one given on NC syringe exchange program. These different and unexpected topics may be hard to identify, but they make for an interesting discussion.

I have enjoyed the variety and the clinical, more real world speakers (prep, passing syringe exchange legislation).

Pediatric vaccination strategies

Post exposure prophylaxis and a discussion of risks for healthcare workers and also researchers handling HIV, infectious clones, replication-deficient retroviruses, etc.

Areas that would help develop new types of collaborations

Can perhaps present talks or discussion about "Careers and opportunities in HIV/AIDS research" tailored to specific groups - women, minorities, postdocs etc.

Future tools for HIV prevention currently being evaluated -- antibody, long-acting PrEP


using research as part of activism

how to approach research and dialogue in the changing political climate

The NIH priorities always deserves some interpretation and deciphering

10 - 10. The Duke CFAR has developed two working groups to bring together CFAR investigators from across Cores who share common research interests and goals. The purpose of the working groups is to stimulate new research collaborations, leading to NIH grant applications and publications. Please select the box(es) below to indicate your interest in learning more about the working group. (check all that apply)


Note: For this question only, your response option will be linked back to your email so that working group leaders can follow up with interested individuals.



#	Answer	%	Count
1	AIDS Malignancy Group (Micah Luftig and Gita Suneja)	19.48%	15
2	Biomedical HIV Prevention, e.g., PrEP (Amy Corneli and Mehri McKellar)	29.87%	23
3	I am not interested in a working group	57.14%	44
	Total	100%	77

11 - 11. We encourage all CFAR investigators to acknowledge the CFAR P30 grant in their manuscripts in order to demonstrate the breadth of HIV-focused research in our Duke CFAR.

Below is a list of reasons for which you might acknowledge CFAR. Please mark why you have acknowledged CFAR in past publications. (check all that apply)



#	Answer	%	Count
1	Manuscript review	22.67%	17

2	Statistical support	18.67%	14
3	Sample access	10.67%	8
4	Consultation on measures	8.00%	6
5	Review of original grant application	10.67%	8
6	Funding (CFAR Small Grant or faculty developmental support)	52.00%	39
7	Participation in CFAR events (e.g., chalk talks, seminars, fall retreats)	20.00%	15
8	I have never acknowledged CFAR	10.67%	8
9	Other (please specify)	16.00%	12
	Total	100%	75

Other (please specify)

Other (please specify)
N/a
Not Applicable
Immune assays, QC
core support
N/A
For providing flow cytometry data
Preparation of reagents.
Submitting soon, will acknowledge
Participation and support in conduct of research / ongoing consultation in conduct of research
core usage
use of Core